arXiv:1406.4805v1 [math.AP] 18 Jun 2014

Nontrivial solutions for periodic Schrödinger equations with sign-changing nonlinearities

Shaowei Chen * Conglei Wang Liqin Xiao

School of Mathematical Sciences, Huaqiao University,
Quanzhou 362021, China

Abstract: Using a new infinite-dimensional linking theorem, we obtained nontrivial solutions for strongly indefinite periodic Schrödinger equations with sign-changing nonlinearities.
Key words: critical point theory; infinite-dimensional linking; periodic Schrödinger equations

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35J20, 35J60

1 Introduction and statement of results

In this paper, we consider the following semilinear Schrödinger equation:

$$-\Delta u + V(x)u + f(x,u) = 0, \qquad u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N), \tag{1.1}$$

where $N \geq 1$. The potential V is a continuous function and 1-periodic in x_j for $j = 1, \cdots, N$. In this case, the spectrum of the operator $-\Delta + V$ is a purely continuous spectrum that is bounded below and consists of closed disjoint intervals ([16, Theorem XIII.100]). Thus, the complement $\mathbb{R} \setminus \sigma(L)$ consists of open intervals called spectral gaps. More precisely, for V, we assume

($\mathbf{v}$). $V \in C(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is 1-periodic in x_j for $j = 1, \cdots, N$, 0 is in a spectral gap $(-\mu_{-1}, \mu_1)$ of $-\Delta + V$ and $-\mu_{-1}$ and μ_1 lie in the essential spectrum of $-\Delta + V$. Denote

$$\mu_0 := \min\{\mu_{-1}, \mu_1\}.$$

And for f, we assume

($\mathbf{f_1}$). $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R})$ is 1-periodic in x_j for $j = 1, \cdots, N$. And there exist constants $C > 0$ and $2 < p < 2^*$ such that

$$|f(x,t)| \leq C(1 + |t|^{p-1}),\ \forall (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}$$

where $2^* := \begin{cases} \frac{2N}{N-2}, & N \geq 3 \\ \infty, & N = 1, 2. \end{cases}$

($\mathbf{f_2}$). The limits $\lim_{t\to 0} f(x,t)/t = 0$ and $\lim_{|t|\to\infty} f(x,t)/t = +\infty$ hold uniformly for $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

Let $F(x,t) = \int_0^t f(x,s)ds$, $V_-(x) = \max\{-V(x), 0\}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, and

$$\widetilde{F}(x,t) := \frac{1}{2}tf(x,t) - F(x,t). \tag{1.2}$$

*E-mail address: swchen6@163.com (Shaowei Chen)

$(\mathbf{f_3})$. There exist $\rho > 0$ and $M > \rho$ such that

$$\inf_{|t|\geq M/2,\ x\in\mathbb{R}^N} \Big(\frac{f(x,t)}{t} - V_-(x)\Big) > 0, \tag{1.3}$$

$$\inf_{|t|\geq \rho, x\in\mathbb{R}^N} F(x,t) \geq 0, \tag{1.4}$$

$$\inf_{\rho\leq|t|\leq M, x\in\mathbb{R}^N} \widetilde{F}(x,t) > 0, \tag{1.5}$$

and

$$\sup_{|t|\leq\rho, x\in\mathbb{R}^N} \Big|\frac{f(x,t)}{t}\Big| + \Big(\sup_{\rho\leq|t|\leq M, x\in\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{f^2(x,t)}{|\widetilde{F}(x,t)|}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \Big(\sup_{|t|\leq\rho, x\in\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|\widetilde{F}(x,t)|}{t^2}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \mu_0. \tag{1.6}$$

A solution u of (1.1) is called nontrivial if $u \not\equiv 0$. Our main result is the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that* $(\mathbf{v})$ *and* $(\mathbf{f_1}) - (\mathbf{f_3})$ *are satisfied. Then the problem (*1.1*) has a nontrivial solution.*

As an application of this theorem, we have the following corollary:

Corollary 1.2. *Suppose that* $2 < p < q < 2^*$, *and* $(\mathbf{v})$ *is satisfied. Then there exists* $\lambda_0 > 0$ *such that, for* $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$,

$$-\Delta u + Vu = \lambda|u|^{p-2}u - |u|^{q-2}u$$

has a nontrivial solution.

Remark 1.3. *This corollary shows that under the assumptions* $(\mathbf{f_1}) - (\mathbf{f_3})$, *the nonlinearity* f *may be sign-changing.*

Under the assumption $(\mathbf{v})$, the quadratic form $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(|\nabla u|^2 + V(x)u^2)dx$ has infinite-dimensional negative and positive spaces. This case is called strongly indefinite. Semilinear periodic Schrödinger equations with strongly indefinite linear part have attracted much attention in recent years due to its numerous applications in mathematical physics. In [2], the authors used a dual variational method to obtain a nontrivial solution of (1.1) with $f(x,t) = -W(x)|t|^{p-2}t$, where W is a perturbed periodic function and $2 < p < 2^*$. In [19], Troestler and Willem used critical point theory to obtain a nontrivial solution of (1.1) by assuming that $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R})$, $|\partial_t f(x,t)| \leq C(|t|^{p-2} + |t|^{q-2})$ for some $2 < p < q < 2^*$ and $g = -f$ satisfies the so-called Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition $0 < \gamma G(x,t) \leq tg(x,t)$, $\forall (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$, where $G(x,t) = \int_0^t g(x,s)ds$. Then, Kryszewski and Szulkin [8] proved a new infinite-dimensional linking theorem. Using it, they generalized Troestler and Willem's result by assuming that $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R})$ and $g = -f$ satisfies the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition. Similar results were also obtained by Pankov and Pflüger in [13, 14] by an approximation method and a variant Nehari method. Equation (1.1) with asymptotically linear nonlinearities and other super-linear nonlinearities has also been studied by many authors. One can see [5, 6, 7, 10] for the asymptotically linear case and [1, 3, 4, 7, 9, 12, 17, 22] for the super-linear case. Moreover, equation (1.1) with 0 belonging to the spectrum of $-\Delta + V$ was studied in [6, 21, 23]. Finally, we should mention that the methods of studying the strongly indefinite periodic Schrödinger can shed light on other strongly indefinite problems, such as, the Hamiltonian systems or the discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equations. One can consult [6, 18] or [15, 24].

All the existence results for equation (1.1) we mentioned above are obtained under the assumption that f does not change sign in $\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}$, i.e., $f \geq 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}$ or $f \leq 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}$. However, under our assumptions $(\mathbf{f_1}) - (\mathbf{f_3})$, $f(x,t)$ can be negative in $\{(x,t) \mid |t| < \rho\}$. Together with (1.4), this implies that $f(x,\cdot)$ may change sign in $\mathbb{R}$. As we know, this situation has never been studied before. And it is the novelty of our main results Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2.

The difficulties of equation (1.1) with sign-changing nonlinearity come from two aspects. The first is that the classical infinite-dimensional linking theorem (see [20, Theorem 6.10] or [8]) cannot be used to deal (1.1) in this case. To use this linking theorem, the functional corresponding to (1.1) must satisfy some upper semi-continuous assumption. However, when $f(x,t)$ is sign-changing, the functional corresponding to (1.1) does not satisfy this assumption. The second is that the sign-changing nonlinearity brings more difficulty in the proof of boundedness of Palais-Smale sequence.

In this paper, a variant infinite-dimensional linking theorem (see Theorem 4.2) is given. This theorem replaced the upper semi-continuous assumption in the classical infinite-dimensional linking theorem ([20, Theorem 6.10]) with other assumptions. For the reader's convenience, we presented this theorem and its proof in the appendix. Using this theorem, a $(\overline{C})_c$ sequence (see Definition 4.1) of equation (1.1) is obtained. Under $(\mathbf{f_1})-(\mathbf{f_3})$, we can prove that this sequence is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ (see Lemma 3.2). Then, we obtained a nontrivial solution of (1.1) from the $(\overline{C})_c$ sequence through the concentration-compactness principle.

Notation. $B_r(a)$ denotes the open ball of radius r and center a. For a Banach space E, we denote the dual space of E by E', and denote strong and weak convergence in E by $\to$ and $\rightharpoonup$, respectively. For $\varphi \in C^1(E;\mathbb{R})$, we denote the Fréchet derivative of φ at u by $\varphi'(u)$. The Gateaux derivative of φ is denoted by $\langle\varphi'(u),v\rangle$, $\forall u,v\in E$. $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)$ denotes the standard L^p space $(1\le p\le\infty)$, and $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ denotes the standard Sobolev space with norm $||u||_{H^1}=(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(|\nabla u|^2+u^2)dx)^{1/2}$. We use $O(h)$, $o(h)$ to mean $|O(h)|\le C|h|$ and $o(h)/|h|\to 0$.

2 Variational and linking structure for equation (1.1)

Under the assumptions $(\mathbf{v})$, and $(\mathbf{f_1})$, the functional

$$J(u)=\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|\nabla u|^2\,dx+\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}V(x)u^2dx+\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}F(x,u)dx \tag{2.1}$$

is of class C^1 on $X:=H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. The derivative of J is

$$\langle J'(u),v\rangle=\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(\nabla u\nabla v+V(x)uv)dx+\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}f(x,u)vdx,\ u,v\in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \tag{2.2}$$

and the critical points of J are weak solutions of (1.1).

There is a standard variational setting for the quadratic form $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(|\nabla u|^2+V(x)u^2)dx$. For the reader's convenience, we state it here. One can consult [7] or [6] for more details.

Assume that $(\mathbf{v})$ holds and let $S=-\Delta+V$ be the self-adjoint operator acting on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with domain $D(S)=H^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$. By virtue of $(\mathbf{v})$, we have the orthogonal decomposition

$$L^2=L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)=L_1+L_2$$

such that S is positive (resp. negative) in L_1(resp.in L_2). Let $X=D(|S|^{1/2})$ be equipped with the inner product

$$(u,v)=(|S|^{1/2}u,|S|^{1/2}v)_{L^2}$$

and norm $||u||=|||S|^{1/2}u||_{L^2}$, where $(\cdot,\cdot)_{L^2}$ denotes the inner product of L^2. From $(\mathbf{v})$,

$$X=H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

with equivalent norms. Therefore, X continuously embeds in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for all $2\le q\le 2^*$. In addition, we have the decomposition

$$X=Y\oplus Z,$$

where $Y=X\cap L_1$, $Z=X\cap L_2$ and Y, Z are orthogonal with respect to both $(\cdot,\cdot)_{L^2}$ and $(\cdot,\cdot)$. Let $P:X\to Y$ and $Q:X\to Z$ be orthogonal projections. Therefore, for every $u\in X$, there is a unique decomposition

$$u=Pu+Qu$$

with $(Pu, Qu) = 0$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)u^2 dx = ||Pu||^2 - ||Qu||^2,\ u \in X. \tag{2.3}$$

Moreover,

$$\mu_{-1}||Pu||_{L^2}^2 \leq ||Pu||^2, \quad \forall u \in X, \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$\mu_1||Qu||_{L^2}^2 \leq ||Qu||^2, \quad \forall u \in X. \tag{2.5}$$

Therefore,

$$\mu_0||u||_{L^2}^2 \leq ||u||^2,\ \forall u \in X. \tag{2.6}$$

We denote

$$X^+ := Z,\ X^- := Y,\ u^+ := Qu,\ u^- := Pu.$$

Let

$$\Phi(u) = -J(u),\ u \in X.$$

Then by (2.1) and (2.3), Φ can be written as

$$\Phi(u) = \frac{1}{2}(||u^+||^2 - ||u^-||^2) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(x,u)dx,\ u \in X. \tag{2.7}$$

And the derivative of Φ is given by

$$\langle \Phi'(u), v\rangle = (u^+, v) - (u^-, v) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x,u)vdx,\ \forall u, v \in X. \tag{2.8}$$

Let $\{e_k^-\}$ be the total orthonormal sequence in X^-. We define

$$|||u||| = \max\Big\{||Qu||, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k+1}}|(Pu, e_k^-)|\Big\} \tag{2.9}$$

on X.

The following Lemma shows that Φ satisfies the linking condition (see (4.5)) of Theorem 4.2 in the appendix.

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose* $(\mathbf{v})$, *and* $(\mathbf{f_1}) - (\mathbf{f_3})$ *are satisfied. Then there exist* $\delta > 0$, $R > r > 0$ *and* $u_0 \in X^+$ *with* $||u_0|| = 1$ *such that*

$$\inf_N \Phi > \max\Big\{\sup_{\partial M} \Phi, \sup_{|||u|||\leq\delta} \Phi\Big\}, \tag{2.10}$$

where N, M *and* ∂M *are defined in (*4.3*) and (*4.4*) in the appendix.*

Proof. We divide the proof into several steps.

Step 1. We shall prove that there exists $r > 0$ such that $\inf_N \Phi > 0$.

From $(\mathbf{f_1})$ and $(\mathbf{f_2})$, we deduce that for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $C_\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$|F(x,t)| \leq \epsilon t^2 + C_\epsilon |t|^p,\ \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then by the Sobolev inequality $||u||_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C||u||$, $\forall u \in X$ and the definition of Φ (see (2.7)), there exists $C' > 0$ such that, for any $u \in X^+$,

$$\Phi(u) \geq \frac{1}{2}||u||^2 - C'\epsilon||u||^2 - C'C_\epsilon||u||^p = (\frac{1}{2} - C'\varepsilon)||u||^2 - C'C_\epsilon||u||^p. \tag{2.11}$$

Choose $\epsilon = 1/4C'$ in (2.11) and let $r = (8C'C_\epsilon)^{-\frac{1}{p-2}}$. We get that, for $N = \{u \in X^+ \mid ||u|| = r\}$,

$$\inf_N \Phi \geq r^2/8 > 0.$$

Step 2. We shall prove that $\Phi(u) \to -\infty$ as $||u|| \to \infty$ and $u \in X^- \oplus \mathbb{R}^+ u_0$. As a consequence, there exists $R > r$ such that $\sup_{\partial M} \Phi \leq 0 < \inf_N \Phi$.

Arguing indirectly, assume that for some sequence $u_n \in X^- \oplus \mathbb{R}^+ u_0$ with $||u_n|| \to +\infty$, there is $\mathcal{L} > 0$ such that $\Phi(u_n) \geq -\mathcal{L}$ for all n. Then, setting $w_n = u_n/||u_n||$, we have $||w_n|| = 1$, and, up to a subsequence, $w_n \rightharpoonup w$, $w_n^- \rightharpoonup w^- \in X^-$ and $w_n^+ \to w^+ \in X^+$. Dividing both sides of $\Phi(u_n) \geq -\mathcal{L}$ by $||u_n||^2$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\mathcal{L}}{||u_n||^2} \leq \frac{\Phi(u_n)}{||u_n||^2} &= \frac{1}{2}||w_n^+||^2 - \frac{1}{2}||w_n^-||^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{F(x,u_n)}{||u_n||^2} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2}||w_n^+||^2 - \frac{1}{2}||w_n^-||^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{F_1(x,u_n)}{||u_n||^2} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{F_2(x,u_n)}{||u_n||^2} dx, \quad (2.12) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$F_1(x,t) = \begin{cases} F(x,t), & |t| \leq \rho,\ x \in \mathbb{R}^N \\ 0, & |t| > \rho,\ x \in \mathbb{R}^N \end{cases}$$

and $F_2 = F - F_1$. From (1.4), we deduce that $F_2 \geq 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}$. Let

$$A := \sup_{|t| \leq \rho, x \in \mathbb{R}^N} |f(x,t)/t|. \quad (2.13)$$

From (1.6), we have

$$A < \mu_0.$$

Then,

$$|F_1(x,t)| \leq \frac{A}{2} t^2, \quad \forall (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.14)$$

By (2.14) and (2.6), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|F_1(x,u_n)|}{||u_n||^2} dx \leq \frac{A}{2}||w_n||_{L^2}^2 \leq \frac{A}{2\mu_0}||w_n||^2 = \frac{A}{2\mu_0}||w_n^+||^2 + \frac{A}{2\mu_0}||w_n^-||^2. \quad (2.15)$$

Combining (2.12) and (2.15), we get that

$$-\frac{\mathcal{L}}{||u_n||^2} \leq (\frac{1}{2} + \frac{A}{2\mu_0})||w_n^+||^2 - (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{A}{2\mu_0})||w_n^-||^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{F_2(x,u_n)}{||u_n||^2} dx. \quad (2.16)$$

First, we consider the case $w \neq 0$. From $\lim_{|t| \to \infty} f(x,t)/t = +\infty$ (see $(\mathbf{f_2})$), we have

$$\liminf_{|t| \to \infty} \frac{F_2(x,t)}{t^2} = +\infty. \quad (2.17)$$

Note that for $x \in \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid w \neq 0\}$, we have $|u_n(x)| \to +\infty$. Together with (2.17), this implies that

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \int_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid w \neq 0\}} \frac{F_2(x,u_n)}{u_n^2} w_n^2 dx = +\infty. \quad (2.18)$$

By $F_2 \geq 0$, we get that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{F_2(x,u_n)}{||u_n||^2} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{F_2(x,u_n)}{u_n^2} w_n^2 dx \geq \int_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid w \neq 0\}} \frac{F_2(x,u_n)}{u_n^2} w_n^2 dx. \quad (2.19)$$

Combining (2.18) and (2.19) yields

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty}\Big((\frac{1}{2}+\frac{A}{2\mu_0})||w_n^+||^2-(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{A}{2\mu_0})||w_n^-||^2-\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\frac{F_2(x,u_n)}{||u_n||^2}dx\Big)=-\infty.$$

It contradicts (2.16), since $-\mathcal{L}/||u_n||^2\to 0$ as $n\to\infty$.

Second, we consider the case $w=0$. In this case, $\lim_{n\to\infty}||w_n^+||=0$. It follows that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}||w_n^-||=1,$$

since $||w_n||=1$ and $||w_n||^2=||w_n^+||^2+||w_n^-||^2$. Therefore, the right hand side of (2.16) is less than $-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{A}{2\mu_0})$ when n is large enough. However, as $n\to\infty$, the left hand side of (2.16) converges to zero. It also induces a contradiction.

Step 3. We shall prove that $\limsup_{|||u|||\to 0}\Phi(u)\le 0<\inf_N\Phi$.

From (2.14) and the fact that $A<\mu_0$ and $F_2\ge 0$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(u) &= \frac{1}{2}||u^+||^2-\frac{1}{2}||u^-||^2-\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}F_1(x,u)dx-\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}F_2(x,u)dx\\ &\le \frac{1}{2}||u^+||^2-\frac{1}{2}||u^-||^2+\frac{A}{2}||u||_{L^2}^2-\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}F_2(x,u)dx\\ &\le \frac{1}{2}||u^+||^2-\frac{1}{2}||u^-||^2+\frac{A}{2\mu_0}||u||^2\\ &= (\frac{1}{2}+\frac{A}{2\mu_0})||u^+||^2-(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{A}{2\mu_0})||u^-||^2\\ &\le (\frac{1}{2}+\frac{A}{2\mu_0})||u^+||^2\le(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{A}{2\mu_0})|||u|||^2. \end{aligned}\tag{2.20}$$

This implies that $\limsup_{|||u|||\to 0}\Phi(u)\le 0<\inf_N\Phi$.

Combining Step 1-Step 3, we get the desired results of this Lemma. □

3 Boundedness of $(\overline{C})_c$ sequence and proof of the main results

According to Definition 4.1 in the appendix, a sequence $\{u_n\}\subset X$ is called a $(\overline{C})_c$ sequence of Φ if

$$\sup_n\Phi(u_n)\le c\quad\text{and}\quad\lim_{n\to\infty}(1+||u_n||)||\Phi'(u_n)||_{X'}=0.$$

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that* $(\mathbf{v})$ *and* $(\mathbf{f_1})-(\mathbf{f_3})$ *are satisfied. Let* $\{u_n\}$ *be a* $(\overline{C})_c$ *sequence of* Φ. *Then*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{\varpi_n}|u_n|^2dx=0,\ \lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{\varpi_n}|u_n|^pdx=0,$$

where $\varpi_n=\{x\in\mathbb{R}^N\mid|u_n(x)|\ge M\}$ *and* p *and* M *come from* $(\mathbf{f_1})$ *and* $(\mathbf{f_3})$ *respectively.*

Proof. Let $\widetilde{\varpi}_n^+=\{x\in\mathbb{R}^N\mid u_n(x)\ge M/2\}$ and $v_n=\max\{u_n-M/2,0\}$. Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|\nabla v_n|^2dx=\int_{\widetilde{\varpi}_n^+}|\nabla u_n|^2dx\le\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|\nabla u_n|^2dx,\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N}v_n^2dx=\int_{\widetilde{\varpi}_n^+}v_n^2dx\le\int_{\widetilde{\varpi}_n^+}u_n^2dx\le\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}u_n^2dx.$$

It follows that $||v_n||=O(||u_n||)$. Together with the fact that $\{u_n\}$ is a $(\overline{C})_c$ sequence for $\Phi=-J$, this implies that

$$o(1)=\langle\Phi'(u_n),v_n\rangle=-\langle J'(u_n),v_n\rangle.\tag{3.1}$$

By (1.3),

$$a := \inf_{|t|\geq M/2,\ x\in\mathbb{R}^N}(\frac{f(x,t)}{t} - V_-(x)) > 0.$$

Then by (2.2), (3.1), and the fact that $u_n \geq v_n \geq 0$ on $\widetilde{\varpi}_n^+$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} o(1) &= \langle J'(u_n), v_n\rangle \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(\nabla u_n \nabla v_n + V u_n v_n)dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x,u_n)v_n dx \\ &= \int_{\widetilde{\varpi}_n^+} |\nabla v_n|^2 dx + \int_{\widetilde{\varpi}_n^+} V_+(x)u_n v_n dx + \int_{\widetilde{\varpi}_n^+}(\frac{f(x,u_n)}{u_n} - V_-)u_n v_n dx \\ &\geq \int_{\widetilde{\varpi}_n^+} |\nabla v_n|^2 dx + a\int_{\widetilde{\varpi}_n^+} v_n^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v_n|^2 dx + a\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_n^2 dx, \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

where $V_+ = V + V_- \geq 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Together with the Sobolev inequality $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v_n|^2 dx + a\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_n^2 dx \geq C(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n|^p dx)^{2/p}$, this yields

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{\widetilde{\varpi}_n^+} |v_n|^2 dx = \lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n|^2 dx = 0,\ \lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{\widetilde{\varpi}_n^+} |v_n|^p dx = \lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n|^p dx = 0. \tag{3.3}$$

Because

$$\varpi_n^+ := \{x\in\mathbb{R}^N \mid u_n(x) \geq M\} \subset \widetilde{\varpi}_n^+$$

and $v_n \geq u_n/2 > 0$ on ϖ_n^+, we get from (3.3) that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{\varpi_n^+} |u_n|^2 dx = 0,\ \lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{\varpi_n^+} |u_n|^p dx = 0. \tag{3.4}$$

Similarly, we can prove that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{\varpi_n^-} |u_n|^2 dx = 0,\ \lim_{n\to\infty}\int_{\varpi_n^-} |u_n|^p dx = 0, \tag{3.5}$$

where $\varpi_n^- := \{x\in\mathbb{R}^N \mid -u_n(x) \geq M\}$. The result of this lemma follows from (3.4) and (3.5). □

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose that* $(\mathbf{v})$ *and* $(\mathbf{f_1})-(\mathbf{f_3})$ *are satisfied. Let* $\{u_n\}$ *be a* $(\overline{C})_c$ *sequence of* Φ. *Then*

$$\sup_n ||u_n|| < +\infty.$$

Proof. From $(1+||u_n||)||\Phi'(u_n)||_{X'} \to 0$, we get that $\langle \Phi'(u_n), u_n^\pm\rangle = o(1)$. Then, by (2.8), we have

$$||u_n^\pm||^2 = \pm\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x,u_n)u_n^\pm dx + o(1).$$

It follows that

$$||u_n||^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x,u_n)(u_n^+ - u_n^-)dx + o(1). \tag{3.6}$$

From $(\mathbf{f_1})$ and $(\mathbf{f_2})$, we deduce that there exists $C_2 > 0$ such that

$$|f(x,t)| \leq |t| + C_2|t|^{p-1},\ \forall t\in\mathbb{R}. \tag{3.7}$$

Note that u^+ and u^- are orthogonal with respect to $(\cdot,\cdot)_{L^2}$. Then, by (2.6), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u^+ - u^-|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u^+|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u^-|^2 dx \leq \mu_0^{-1}||u||^2,\ \forall u\in X. \tag{3.8}$$

Let

$$D_1 = \sup_{\rho\le|t|\le M, x\in\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{f^2(x,t)}{|\widetilde{F}(x,t)|} \tag{3.9}$$

and recall that $A = \sup_{|t|\le\rho, x\in\mathbb{R}^N} |f(x,t)/t|$ (see (2.13)). Using the Hölder inequality, from (3.6), (3.7) and (3.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
||u_n||^2 &= \Big(\int_{\{x\ |\ |u_n|\le\rho\}} + \int_{\{x\ |\ \rho<|u_n|\le M\}} + \int_{\{x\ |\ |u_n|>M\}}\Big) f(x,u_n)(u_n^+ - u_n^-)dx + o(1)\\
&\le A\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^2dx\Big)^{\frac12}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n^+ - u_n^-|^2dx\Big)^{\frac12}\\
&\quad +\Big(\int_{\{x\ |\ \rho<|u_n|\le M\}} f^2(x,u_n)dx\Big)^{\frac12}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n^+ - u_n^-|^2dx\Big)^{\frac12}\\
&\quad +\Big(\int_{\{x\ |\ |u_n|>M\}} |u_n|^2dx\Big)^{\frac12}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n^+ - u_n^-|^2dx\Big)^{\frac12}\\
&\quad +C_2\Big(\int_{\{x\ |\ |u_n|>M\}} |u_n|^pdx\Big)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n^+ - u_n^-|^pdx\Big)^{\frac1p}\\
&\le A\mu_0^{-1}||u_n||^2 + D_1^{\frac12}\mu_0^{-\frac12}\Big(\int_{\{x\ |\ \rho<|u_n|\le M\}} |\widetilde{F}(x,u_n)|dx\Big)^{\frac12}||u_n||\\
&\quad +\mu_0^{-\frac12}\Big(\int_{\{x\ |\ |u_n|>M\}} |u_n|^2dx\Big)^{\frac12}||u_n|| + C'C_2\Big(\int_{\{x\ |\ |u_n|>M\}} |u_n|^pdx\Big)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}||u_n||,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

where the positive constant C' comes from the Sobolev inequality $||u||_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \le C||u||$, $\forall u \in X$. By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\int_{\{x\ |\ |u_n(x)|\ge M\}} u_n^2dx = o(1),\ \int_{\{x\ |\ |u_n(x)|\ge M\}} |u_n|^pdx = o(1). \tag{3.11}$$

Combining (3.11) with (3.10) yields that

$$||u_n||^2 \le A\mu_0^{-1}||u_n||^2 + D_1^{\frac12}\mu_0^{-\frac12}\Big(\int_{\{x\ |\ \rho<|u_n|\le M\}} |\widetilde{F}(x,u_n)|dx\Big)^{\frac12}||u_n|| + o(||u_n||). \tag{3.12}$$

From $\sup_n \Phi(u_n) \le c$ and $(1+||u_n||)||\Phi'(u_n)||_{X'} \to 0$, we obtain

$$o(1) + c \ge \Phi(u_n) - \frac12\langle\Phi'(u_n), u_n\rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \widetilde{F}(x,u_n)dx.$$

Together with (1.5), this implies

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\{x\ |\ \rho<|u_n(x)|\le M\}} |\widetilde{F}(x,u_n)|dx\\
=\ &\int_{\{x\ |\ \rho<|u_n(x)|\le M\}} \widetilde{F}(x,u_n)dx\\
\le\ &-\int_{\{x\ |\ |u_n(x)|\le\rho\}} \widetilde{F}(x,u_n)dx - \int_{\{x\ |\ |u_n(x)|\ge M\}} \widetilde{F}(x,u_n)dx + c + o(1)\\
\le\ &\int_{\{x\ |\ |u_n(x)|\le\rho\}} |\widetilde{F}(x,u_n)|dx + \int_{\{x\ |\ |u_n(x)|\ge M\}} |\widetilde{F}(x,u_n)|dx + |c| + o(1).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

From $(\mathbf{f_1})$ and $(\mathbf{f_2})$, we deduce that there exists $C_3 > 0$ such that

$$|\widetilde{F}(x,t)| \le |t|^2 + C_3|t|^p,\ \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{3.14}$$

Let $D_2 = \sup_{|t|\le\rho, x\in\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|\widetilde{F}(x,t)|}{t^2}$. Combining (3.14), (3.11) with (3.13) yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\{x \mid \rho<|u_n(x)|\le M\}} |\widetilde{F}(x,u_n)|dx \\
\le\ & \int_{\{x \mid |u_n(x)|\le\rho\}} |\widetilde{F}(x,u_n)|dx + \int_{\{x \mid |u_n(x)|\ge M\}} |\widetilde{F}(x,u_n)|dx + |c| + o(1) \\
\le\ & D_2 \int_{\{x \mid |u_n(x)|\le\rho\}} u_n^2 dx + \int_{\{x \mid |u_n(x)|\ge M\}} u_n^2 dx + C_3 \int_{\{x \mid |u_n(x)|\ge M\}} |u_n|^p dx + |c| + o(1) \\
\le\ & D_2\mu_0^{-1}||u_n||^2 + \int_{\{x \mid |u_n(x)|\ge M\}} u_n^2 dx + C_3 \int_{\{x \mid |u_n(x)|\ge M\}} |u_n|^p dx + |c| + o(1) \\
=\ & D_2\mu_0^{-1}||u_n||^2 + |c| + o(1).
\end{aligned}$$

Together with (3.12), this implies

$$||u_n||^2 \le \left(A\mu_0^{-1} + D_1^{\frac{1}{2}} D_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \mu_0^{-1}\right)||u_n||^2 + O(||u_n||). \tag{3.15}$$

From (1.6), we have

$$A\mu_0^{-1} + D_1^{\frac{1}{2}} D_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \mu_0^{-1} < 1. \tag{3.16}$$

The boundedness of $\{||u_n||\}$ follows from (3.15) and (3.16) immediately. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. From the proof of Lemma 6.15 in [20], we know that Φ' is weakly sequentially continuous. Moreover, it is easy to see that $\sup_M \Phi < +\infty$. Then by Lemma 2.1, Lemma 3.2 and Theorem 4.2, we deduce that there exists a bounded $(\overline{C})_c$ sequence $\{u_n\}$ for Φ with $c = \sup_M \Phi$ and $\inf_n |||u_n||| > 0$. Up to a subsequence, either

(i). $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_1(y)} |u_n|^2 dx = 0$, or

(ii). there exist $\varrho > 0$ and $a_n \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ such that $\int_{B_1(a_n)} |u_n|^2 dx \ge \varrho$.

If (i) occurs, using the Lions lemma (see, for example, [20, Lemma 1.21]), a similar argument as for the proof of [18, Lemma 3.6] shows that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x,u_n)u_n^{\pm} dx = 0.$$

Then by (3.6), we have $||u_n|| \to 0$. This contradicts $\inf_n |||u_n||| > 0$. Therefore, case (i) cannot occur. As case (ii) therefore occurs, $w_n = u_n(\cdot + a_n)$ satisfies $w_n \rightharpoonup u_0 \neq 0$. From $(1 + ||w_n||)||\Phi'(w_n)||_{X'} = (1 + ||u_n||)||\Phi'(u_n)||_{X'} \to 0$ and the weakly sequential continuity of Φ', we have that $\Phi'(u_0) = 0$. Therefore, u_0 is a nontrivial solution of Eq.(1.1). This completes the proof. □

Proof of Corollary 1.2. Let

$$f_\lambda(t) = |t|^{q-2}t - \lambda|t|^{p-2}t,\ t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Since $2 < p < q < 2^*$, we deduce that f_λ satisfies $(\mathbf{f_1})$ and $(\mathbf{f_2})$. Because $q > p$, there exists $M > 0$ such that

$$\inf_{|t|\ge M/2} (|t|^{q-2} - |t|^{p-2}) > \max_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_-.$$

It follows that, for $0 < \lambda \le 1$, f_λ satisfies (1.3).

Let

$$\rho = (q\lambda/p)^{1/(q-p)}.$$

Then, for $|t| \geq \rho$,

$$F_\lambda(t) = \int_0^t f_\lambda(s)ds = \frac{1}{q}|t|^q - \frac{\lambda}{p}|t|^p \geq 0, \tag{3.17}$$

i.e., F_λ satisfies (1.4).

Let

$$\widetilde{F}_\lambda(t) = \frac{1}{2}tf_\lambda(t) - F_\lambda(t) = (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q})|t|^q - \lambda(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})|t|^p.$$

If $|t| \geq \rho$, then

$$\widetilde{F}_\lambda(t) = (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q})|t|^q - \lambda(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})|t|^p \geq \frac{q-p}{2q}|t|^q. \tag{3.18}$$

This shows that $\widetilde{F}_\lambda$ satisfies (1.5).

It follows from

$$0 \leq |t|^{q-2} - \lambda|t|^{p-2} \leq |t|^{q-2} \text{ if } |t| \geq \rho \tag{3.19}$$

that

$$f_\lambda^2(t) = (|t|^{q-2} - \lambda|t|^{p-2})^2t^2 \leq |t|^{2q-2} \quad \text{if} \quad |t| \geq \rho. \tag{3.20}$$

Let λ be sufficiently small such that $M > \rho$. Combining (3.18) with (3.20) yields that

$$\frac{f_\lambda^2(t)}{\widetilde{F}_\lambda(t)} \leq \frac{2q}{q-p}|t|^{q-2} \leq \frac{2q}{q-p}M^{q-2} \quad \text{if} \quad \rho \leq |t| \leq M. \tag{3.21}$$

Moreover, if $|t| \leq \rho$, we have

$$\Big|\frac{f_\lambda(t)}{t}\Big| = \Big||t|^{q-2} - \lambda|t|^{p-2}\Big| \leq \rho^{q-2} + \lambda\rho^{p-2} \tag{3.22}$$

and

$$\Big|\frac{\widetilde{F}_\lambda(t)}{t^2}\Big| \leq (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q})|t|^{q-2} + \lambda(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})|t|^{p-2} \leq (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q})\rho^{q-2} + \lambda(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})\rho^{p-2}. \tag{3.23}$$

Let $\lambda > 0$ be sufficiently small such that

$$\rho^{q-2} + \lambda\rho^{p-2} + \Big(\frac{2q}{q-p}M^{q-2}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \Big((\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q})\rho^{q-2} + \lambda(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p})\rho^{p-2}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \mu_0. \tag{3.24}$$

It follows from $(3.21) - (3.24)$ that f_λ and $\widetilde{F}_\lambda$ satisfy (1.6). Therefore, we verified that f_λ, F_λ and $\widetilde{F}_\lambda$ satisfy $(1.3) - (1.6)$ if $\lambda > 0$ is sufficiently small. The result of this corollary follows from Theorem 1.1 immediately. □

4 Appendix

In this section, we give a variant linking theorem which is a generalization of the classical infinite-dimensional linking theorem of [20, Theorem 6.10] (see also [8]).

Before state this theorem, we give some notations and definitions.

Let X be a separable Hilbert space with inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)$ and norm $||\cdot||$, respectively. $X^\pm$ are closed subspaces of X and $X = X^+ \oplus X^-$. Let $\{e_k^-\}$ be the total orthonormal sequence in X^-. Let

$$Q : X \to X^+, \ P : X \to X^- \tag{4.1}$$

be the orthogonal projections. We define

$$|||u||| = \max\Big\{||Qu||, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k+1}}|(Pu, e_k^-)|\Big\} \tag{4.2}$$

on X. Then

$$||Qu|| \le |||u||| \le ||u||, \ \forall u \in X.$$

Moreover, if $||u_n||$ is bounded and $|||u_n - u||| \to 0$, then $\{u_n\}$ weakly converges to u in X. The topology generated by $|||\cdot|||$ is denoted by τ, and all topological notations related to it will include this symbol.

Let $R > r > 0$ and $u_0 \in X^+$ with $||u_0|| = 1$. Set

$$N = \{u \in X^+ \mid ||u|| = r\}, \ M = \{u + tu_0 \mid u \in X^-, \ t \ge 0, \ ||u + tu_0|| \le R\}. \tag{4.3}$$

Then, M is a submanifold of $X^- \oplus \mathbb{R}^+ u_0$ with boundary

$$\partial M = \{u \in X^- \mid ||u|| \le R\} \cup \{u + tu_0 \mid u \in X^-, \ t > 0, \ ||u + tu_0|| = R\}. \tag{4.4}$$

Definition 4.1. *Let $\phi \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$. A sequence $\{u_n\} \subset X$ is called a $(\overline{C})_c$ sequence for ϕ, if*

$$\sup_n \phi(u_n) \le c \quad \textit{and} \quad (1 + ||u_n||)||\phi'(u_n)||_{X'} \to 0, \ \textit{as } n \to \infty.$$

The main result of this section is the following theorem:

Theorem 4.2. *If $H \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies*

(a) *H' is weakly sequentially continuous, i.e., if $u \in X$ and $\{u_n\} \subset X$ are such that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$, then, for any $\varphi \in X$, $\langle H'(u_n), \varphi\rangle \to \langle H'(u), \varphi\rangle$.*

(b) *There exist $\delta > 0$, $u_0 \in X^+$ with $||u_0|| = 1$, and $R > r > 0$ such that*

$$\inf_N H > \max\Big\{\sup_{\partial M} H, \ \sup_{|||u||| \le \delta} H(u)\Big\} \tag{4.5}$$

and

$$\sup_M H < +\infty, \tag{4.6}$$

Then there exists a $(\overline{C})_c$ sequence $\{u_n\}$ for H with $c = \sup_M H$ such that

$$\inf_n |||u_n||| \ge \delta/2. \tag{4.7}$$

Remark 4.3. *In this theorem, the τ-upper semi-continuous assumption of H in the classical infinite-dimensional linking theorem (see [20, Theorem 6.10]) is replaced by $\inf_N H > \sup_{|||u||| \le \delta} H(u)$ for some $\delta > 0$ and the result is replaced with existence of a $(\overline{C})_c$ sequence $\{u_n\}$ of H satisfying (4.7).*

Proof of Theorem 4.2. Arguing indirectly, assume that the result does not hold. Then, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$(1 + ||u||)||H'(u)||_{X'} \ge \epsilon, \ \forall u \in E \tag{4.8}$$

where

$$E = \{u \in X \mid H(u) \le d + \epsilon\} \cap \{u \in X \mid |||u||| \ge \delta/2\}$$

and

$$d = \sup_M H.$$

From (4.5), we can choose ϵ such that

$$0 < \epsilon < \inf_N H - \max\Big\{\sup_{\partial M} H, \sup_{|||u|||\le\delta} H(u)\Big\}. \tag{4.9}$$

Step1. A vector field in a τ-neighborhood of E.
Let

$$b = \inf_N H, \quad T = 2(d - b + 2\epsilon)/\epsilon, \quad R = (1 + \sup_{u\in M} ||u||)e^T \tag{4.10}$$

and

$$B_R = \{u \in X \mid ||u|| \le R\}. \tag{4.11}$$

For every $u \in E \cap B_R$, there exists $\phi_u \in X$ with $||\phi_u|| = 1$ such that $\langle H'(u), \phi_u\rangle \ge \frac{3}{4}||H'(u)||_{X'}$. Then, (4.8) implies

$$(1 + ||u||)\langle H'(u), \phi_u\rangle > \frac{1}{2}\epsilon. \tag{4.12}$$

From the definition of $|||\cdot|||$, we deduce that if a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset E \cap B_R$ τ-converges to $u \in X$, i.e., $|||u_n - u||| \to 0$, then $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in X (see Remark 6.1 of [20]). By the weakly sequential continuity of H', we get that for any $\varphi \in X$, $\langle H'(u_n), \varphi\rangle \to \langle H'(u), \varphi\rangle$. This implies that H' is τ-sequentially continuous in $E \cap B_R$. By (4.12), the τ-sequential continuity of H' in $E \cap B_R$ and the weakly lower semi-continuity of the norm $||\cdot||$, we get that there exists a τ-open neighborhood V_u of u such that

$$\langle H'(v), (1 + ||u||)\phi_u\rangle > \frac{1}{2}\epsilon, \ \forall v \in V_u, \tag{4.13}$$

and

$$||(1 + ||u||)\phi_u|| = 1 + ||u|| \le 2(1 + ||v||), \ \forall v \in V_u. \tag{4.14}$$

Because B_R is a bounded convex closed set in the Hilbert space X, B_R is a τ-closed set. Therefore, $X \setminus B_R$ is a τ-open set.
The family

$$\mathcal{N} = \{V_u \mid u \in E \cap B_R\} \cup \{X \setminus B_R\}$$

is a τ-open covering of E. Let

$$\mathcal{V} = \Big(\bigcup_{u\in E\cap B_R} V_u\Big)\bigcup (X \setminus B_R).$$

Then, $\mathcal{V}$ is a τ-open neighborhood of E.

Since $\mathcal{V}$ is metric, hence paracompact, there exists a local finite τ-open covering $\mathcal{M} = \{M_i \mid i \in \Lambda\}$ of $\mathcal{V}$ finer than $\mathcal{N}$. If $M_i \subset V_{u_i}$ for some $u_i \in E$, we choose $\varpi_i = (1 + ||u_i||)\phi_{u_i}$ and if $M_i \subset X \setminus B_R$, we choose $\varpi_i = 0$. Let $\{\lambda_i \mid i \in I\}$ be a τ-Lipschitz continuous partition of unity subordinated to $\mathcal{M}$. And let

$$\xi(u) := \sum_{i\in I} \lambda_i(u)\varpi_i, \ u \in \mathcal{V}. \tag{4.15}$$

Since the τ-open covering $\mathcal{M}$ of $\mathcal{V}$ is local finite, each $u \in \mathcal{V}$ belongs to only finite many sets M_i. Therefore, for every $u \in \mathcal{V}$, the sum in (4.15) is only a finite sum. It follows that, for any $u \in \mathcal{V}$, there exist a τ-open neighborhood $U_u \subset \mathcal{V}$ of u and $L_u > 0$ such that $\xi(U_u)$ is contained in a finite-dimensional subspace of X and

$$||\xi(v) - \xi(w)|| \le L_u|||v - w|||, \ \forall v, w \in U_u. \tag{4.16}$$

Moreover, by the definition of ξ, (4.13) and (4.14), we get that, for every $u \in \mathcal{V}$,

$$||\xi(u)|| \leq 1 + ||u|| \quad \text{and} \quad \langle H'(u), \xi(u)\rangle \geq 0 \tag{4.17}$$

and for every $u \in E \cap B_R$,

$$\langle H'(u), \xi(u)\rangle > \frac{1}{2}\epsilon. \tag{4.18}$$

Step2. Let θ be a smooth function satisfying $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ in $\mathbb{R}$ and

$$\theta(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \leq \frac{2\delta}{3}, \\ 1, & t \geq \delta. \end{cases}$$

Let

$$\chi(u) = \begin{cases} -\theta(|||u|||)\xi(u), & u \in \mathcal{V}\,, \\ 0, & |||u||| \leq \frac{2\delta}{3}. \end{cases}$$

Then, χ is a vector field defined in

$$\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{V} \cup \{u \in X \mid |||u||| < \delta\}.$$

It is a τ-open neighborhood of $H^{d+\epsilon} \cup (X \setminus B_R)$, where

$$H^{d+\epsilon} := \{u \in X \mid H(u) \leq d + \epsilon\}.$$

From (4.16), (4.18) and the definition of χ, we deduce that the mapping χ satisfies that

($\mathbf{a}$). each $u \in \mathcal{W}$ has a τ-open set V_u such that $\chi(V_u)$ is contained in a finite-dimensional subspace of X,

($\mathbf{b}$). for any $u \in \mathcal{W}$, there exist a τ-open neighborhood U_u of u and $L'_u > 0$ such that

$$||\chi(v) - \chi(w)|| \leq L'_u|||v - w|||,\ \forall v, w \in U_u. \tag{4.19}$$

This means that χ is locally Lipschitz continuous and τ-locally Lipschitz continuous,

($\mathbf{c}$).

$$||\chi(u)|| \leq 1 + ||u||,\ \forall u \in \mathcal{W}, \tag{4.20}$$

($\mathbf{d}$).

$$\langle H'(u), \chi(u)\rangle \leq 0,\ \forall u \in \mathcal{W}. \tag{4.21}$$

and

$$\langle H'(u), \chi(u)\rangle < -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon,\ \forall u \in \{u \in E \mid |||u||| \geq \delta\} \cap B_R. \tag{4.22}$$

Step3. From (4.19) and the fact that $|||v||| \leq ||v||$, $\forall v \in X$, we have

$$||\chi(v) - \chi(w)|| \leq L'_u||v - w||,\ \forall v, w \in U_u.$$

This implies that χ is a local Lipschitz mapping under the $||\cdot||$ norm. Then by the standard theory of ordinary differential equation in Banach space, we deduce that the following initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\eta}{dt} = \chi(\eta), \\ \eta(0, u) = u \in \mathcal{W}. \end{cases} \tag{4.23}$$

has a unique solution in $\mathcal{W}$, denoted by $\eta(t, u)$, with right maximal interval of existence $[0, T(u))$. Furthermore, using (4.19) and the Gronwall inequality (see, for example, Lemma 6.9 of [20]), the similar argument as the proof of $\mathbf{c})$ in [20, Lemma 6.8] yields that

($\mathbf{A}$). η is τ-continuous, i.e., if $u_n \in \mathcal{W}$, $u_0 \in \mathcal{W}$, $0 \le t_n < T(u_n)$ and $0 \le t_0 < T(u_0)$ satisfy $|||u_n - u_0||| \to 0$ and $t_n \to t_0$, then $|||\eta(t_n, u_n) - \eta(t_0, u_0)||| \to 0$.

From (4.21), we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}H(\eta(t,u)) = \langle H'(\eta(t,u)), \eta(t,u)\rangle \le 0.$$

Therefore, H is non-increasing along the flow η. It follows that $\{\eta(t,u) \mid 0 \le t \le T(u)\} \subset H^{d+\epsilon}$ if $u \in H^{d+\epsilon}$, i.e., $H^{d+\epsilon}$ is an invariant set of the flow η. Then, (4.20) and Theorem 5.6.1 of [11] implies that, for any $u \in H^{d+\epsilon}$, $T(u) = +\infty$.

Step 4. We shall prove that

$$\{\eta(t,u) \mid 0 \le t \le T,\ u \in M\} \subset B_R. \tag{4.24}$$

Let $u \in H^{d+\epsilon}$. By the result in Step 3, we have $T(u) = +\infty$ and

$$\eta(t,u) = u + \int_0^t \chi(\eta(s,u))ds, \quad \forall t \in [0, +\infty).$$

Together with (4.20), this yields

$$||\eta(t,u)|| \le ||u|| + \int_0^t ||\chi(\eta(s,u))||ds \le ||u|| + \int_0^t (1 + ||\eta(s,u))||)ds.$$

Then, by the Gronwall inequality (see, for example, Lemma 6.9 of [20]), we get that

$$||\eta(t,u)|| \le (1 + ||u||)e^t - 1, \quad \forall t \in [0, +\infty). \tag{4.25}$$

Since $M \subset H^{d+\epsilon}$, by (4.25) and the definition of R (see 4.10), we get (4.24).

Step 5. From the choice of ϵ (see (4.9)), we have

$$\sup_{|||u|||\le\delta} H < b - \epsilon.$$

It follows that

$$\{u \in X \mid |||u||| \le \delta\} \subset H^{b-\epsilon} := \{u \in X \mid H(u) \le b - \epsilon\}.$$

Together with (4.22), this yields

$$\langle H'(u), \chi(u)\rangle < -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon,\ \forall u \in H^{d+\epsilon}_{b-\epsilon} \cap B_R, \tag{4.26}$$

where

$$H^{d+\epsilon}_{b-\epsilon} := \{u \in X \mid b - \epsilon \le H(u) \le d + \epsilon\}.$$

We show that, for any $u \in M$, $H(\eta(T,u)) \le b - \epsilon$. Arguing indirectly, assume that this were not true. Then, there exists $u \in M$ such that $H(\eta(T,u)) > b - \epsilon$. Since H is non-increasing along the flow η, from (4.24), we deduce that $\{\eta(t,u) \mid 0 \le t \le T\} \subset H^{d+\epsilon}_{b-\epsilon} \cap B_R$. Then, by (4.26),

$$\begin{aligned} H(\eta(T,u)) &= H(\eta(0,u)) + \int_0^T \Big\langle H'(\eta(s,u)), \chi(\eta(s,u))\Big\rangle ds \\ &\le H(\eta(0,u)) + \int_0^T (-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon)ds \\ &\le d + \epsilon - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon T = b - \epsilon. \end{aligned} \tag{4.27}$$

This contradicts $H(\eta(T,u)) > b - \epsilon$. Therefore, we have

$(\mathbf{B})$. $\eta(T, M) \subset H^{b-\epsilon}$.

Moreover, using the result $(\mathbf{a})$ in Step 2 and and the fact that η is τ-continuous (see $(\mathbf{A})$), the similar argument as the proof of the result $b)$ of [20, Lemma 6.8] yields that

$(\mathbf{C})$. Each point $(t, u) \in [0, T] \times H^{d+\epsilon}$ has a τ-neighborhood $N_{(t,u)}$ such that

$$\{v - \eta(s, v) \mid (s, v) \in N_{(t,u)} \cap ([0, T] \times H^{d+\epsilon})\}$$

is contained in a finite-dimensional subspace of X.

Step6. Let

$$h : [0, T] \times M \to X,\ h(t, u) = P\eta(t, u) + (||Q\eta(t, u)|| - r)u_0$$

where P, Q, r and u_0 are defined in (4.1), (4.3) and (4.4). Then

$$0 \in h(t, M) \Leftrightarrow \eta(t, M) \cap N \neq \emptyset.$$

From $\inf_N H > \sup_{\partial M} H$ (see (4.5)) and the fact that, for any $u \in X$, the function $H(\eta(\cdot, u))$ is non-increasing, we deduce that $\inf_N H > \sup_{u \in \partial M} H(\eta(t, u))$, $\forall t \in [0, T]$. Therefore,

$$0 \notin h(t, \partial M),\ \forall t \in [0, T]. \tag{4.28}$$

Since η has the properties $(\mathbf{A})$ and $(\mathbf{C})$ obtained in step 3 and step 5 respectively and h satisfies (4.28), there is an appropriate degree theory for $\deg(h(t, \cdot), M, 0)$ (see Proposition 6.4 and Theorem 6.6 of [20]). Then, the same argument as the proof of Theorem 6.10 of [20] yields that

$$\deg(h(T, \cdot), M, 0) = \deg(h(0, \cdot), M, 0) \neq 0.$$

It follows that $0 \in h(T, M)$ and $\eta(T, M) \cap N \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, there exists $u \in M$ such that $H(\eta(T, u)) \geq b$. It contradicts the property $(\mathbf{B})$ obtained in step 5. This completes the proof of this theorem. □

References

[1] N. Ackermann, A superposition principle and multibump solutions of periodic Schrödinger equations. J. Func. Anal. 234 (2006) 277-320.

[2] S. Alama, Y. Y. Li, On multibump bound states for certain semilinear elliptic equations. Indiana Univ. Math. J. 41 (1992) 983-1026.

[3] C. J. Batkam, B. Colin, Generalized fountain theorem and applications to strongly indefinite semilinear problems, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 405 (2013) 438-452.

[4] S. Chen, Multi-bump solutions for a strongly indefinite semilinear Schrödinger equation without symmetry or convexity assumptions, Nonlinear Anal. 68 (2008) 3067-3102.

[5] S. Chen, D. Zhang, Existence of nontrivial solutions for asymptotically linear periodic Schrödinger equations, Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations (2014), http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17476933.2014.911293, in press.

[6] Y. H. Ding, Variational Methods for Strongly Indefinite Problems. Interdisciplinary Mathematical Sciences, 7. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2007.

[7] Y. H. Ding, C. Lee, Multiple solutions of Schrödinger equations with indefinite linear part and super or asymptotically linear terms, J. Differential Equations, 222 (2006) 137-163.

[8] W. Kryszewski, A. Szulkin, Generalized linking theorem with an application to a semilinear Schrödinger equation, Adv. Differ. Equ. 3 (1998) 441-472.

[9] W. Kryszewski, A. Szulkin, Infinite-dimensional homology and multibump solutions, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 5 (2009) 1-35.

[10] G. Li, A. Szulkin, An asymptotically periodic Schrödinger equation with indefinite linear part, Commun. Contemp. Math., 4 (2002) 763-776.

[11] G. E. Ladas, V. Lakshmikantham, Differential Equations in Abstract Spaces. Mathematics in Science and Engineering, Vol. 85. Academic Press, New York-London, 1972.

[12] S. Liu, On superlinear Schrödinger equations with periodic potential, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 45 (2012) 1-9.

[13] A. Pankov, K. Pflüger, On a semilinear Schrödinger equation with periodic potential, Nonlinear Anal. 33 (1998) 593-609.

[14] A. Pankov, Periodic nonlinear Schrödinger equation with application to photonic crystals, Milan J. Math. 73 (2005) 259-287.

[15] A. Pankov, Gap solitons in periodic discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equations with saturable nonlinearities, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 371 (2010), no. 1, 254-265.

[16] M. Reed, B. Simon, Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, IV: Analysis of Operators, Academic Press, New York-London, 1978.

[17] A. Szulkin, T. Weth, Ground state solutions for some indefinite variational problems, J. Funct. Anal. 257 (2009) 3802-3822.

[18] A. Szulkin, W. Zou, Homoclinic orbits for asymptotically linear Hamiltonian systems, J. Funct. Anal. 187 (2001) 25-41.

[19] C. Troestler, M. Willem, Nontrivial solution of a semilinear Schrödinger equation, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 21 (1996) 1431-1449.

[20] M. Willem, Minimax Theorems, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, 24, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1996.

[21] M. Willem, W. Zou, On a Schrödinger equation with periodic potential and spectrum point zero, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 52 (2003) 109-132.

[22] M. Yang, Ground state solutions for a periodic Schrödinger equation with superlinear nonlinearities, Nonlinear Anal. 72 (2010) 2620-2627.

[23] M. Yang, W. Chen, Y. H. Ding, Solutions for periodic Schrödinger equation with spectrum zero and general superlinear nonlinearities, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 364 (2010) 404-413.

[24] G. Zhang, Breather solutions of the discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equations with sign changing nonlinearity, J. Math. Phys. 52 (2011), no. 4, 043516, 11 pp.